



The notion of control under the EU Merger Regulation

Marta ANDRES VAQUERO
European Commission, DG Competition

18th EU-China Competition Week
Beijing, 20 March 2019

Key principles of EU merger control

- ***Ex ante* mandatory notification & standstill obligation**
- **Turnover thresholds:**
 - Criteria for notification
 - Work sharing between EU and EU Member States: one stop shop & better placed authority
- **Strict legal deadlines**
- **Competition-based assessment criteria**

Reference texts for jurisdictional issues

- Merger Regulation 139/2004 (Articles 1, 3 and 5)
- Consolidated Jurisdictional Notice of 2008 (“CJN”)
- Case practice and case-law of EU Courts

Requirements for EU jurisdiction

- **Concentration** (Article 3 EUMR)
 - Merger
 - Acquisition of control
 - Full-function joint ventures
- **EU dimension:** turnover thresholds
- **Triggering event** (when to notify?)

Concept of concentration

- **Concentration** (recital 20, Art. 3 EUMR):
 - Change of control over an undertaking
 - On a lasting basis
- **Types of concentrations:**
 - Merger between independent undertakings
 - Acquisitions of sole or joint control of an undertaking (including creation of full-function JVs)

If no concentration, Article 101 TFEU may be applicable

Notion of control

What is “Control”

- Possibility of exercising “decisive influence” on an undertaking (Art. 3(2) EUMR)
- “Decisive influence” to determine the **strategic** commercial behavior of an undertaking:
 - Either alone (sole control)
 - Or jointly with other shareholders (joint control)
- Strategic decisions: business plan, budget, investments, appointment of management

Acquisition of control: parties involved

- **Who acquires control?**
 - One or more undertakings
 - Physical persons that already control at least one undertaking
- **Target:** business with a market presence to which turnover can be attributed

Object of control: target

- **Business with market presence and to which turnover can be attributed**
 - Whole or parts of one or more undertakings
 - Legal entities or assets
 - Assets must constitute a business to which a market turnover can be clearly attributed
 - Client base, brands or patents can be sufficient, even exclusive licences, if this constitutes a business with a market turnover
- NOT: simple outsourcing contracts without asset transfers or if use of assets is limited to provide service to the outsourcing customer

Means of acquiring control

- Acquisition of shares or assets
- Control on a contractual basis
 - Long-term organizational contracts concerning management and resources
 - Pooling arrangements
- Control on a de facto basis:
 - Minority shareholdings if attendance rate in general meetings allows stable voting majority
 - Strong economic dependence

Types of control: sole control

- One undertaking alone can exercise decisive influence on an undertaking if it enjoys the power to determine strategic commercial decisions:
 - Acquisition of **majority of the voting rights**, either de jure or de facto (positive sole control)
 - **Qualified minority**: it alone can veto strategic commercial decisions without being in a position to impose such decisions on its own ("negative sole control")

Negative sole control

- A, B and C acquire 50%, 25% and 25% over target company
- Board of directors of target composed of 4 members:
 - A: 2 members
 - B: 1 member
 - C: 1 member
- Adoption of strategic decisions:
 - 75% at shareholders' meetings or
 - Approval by $\frac{3}{4}$ of board members

A cannot adopt alone the strategic decisions but has the ability to block them with its 50% shareholding

De facto sole control

- Minority shareholding but stable voting majority is highly likely (prospective analysis)
- Example: IF P&C / TOPDANMARK (2013)
 - Insurance sector
 - IF P&C has gradually increased its shareholding in TopDanmark (up to 26.51% of voting rights)
 - Dispersed shareholding structure
 - IF P&C only industrial shareholder
 - Past attendance rates: with 26.51% IF P&C would have achieved a majority at each of the 7 most recent general meetings

Joint control

- Two or more undertakings have the **power to block strategic decisions** (deadlock situation): need for agreement to determine commercial policy of the JV
- When?
 - 50:50: equality of voting rights or equality of number of members in decision making bodies
 - Veto rights (details below)
 - Joint exercise of voting rights (holding company or pooling agreement)
 - Exceptionally: commonality of interest (strong mutual dependency between shareholders)
- No joint control if casting votes (unless of limited relevance)

Joint control: veto rights

- Related to strategic decisions on commercial behavior
 - appointment and removal of management
 - budget
 - business plan
 - investments
 - market specific decisions
- Normal protection of minority shareholders not sufficient, *e.g.* dissolution of company, company restructuring operations, capital increases and decreases

No control: shifting majorities

- 3 shareholders (35%, 20%, 45%)
- Simple majority voting – any two of the three can team up to win

Changes in the quality of control

- **Concentrations:**
 - Entry of new controlling shareholder
 - Replacement of a controlling shareholder
 - Reduction in the number of controlling shareholders if this leads to a change from joint to sole control (reduction from 2 to 1 shareholders)
- **No concentration:**
 - Change from negative to positive (sole) control or vice versa
 - Change from *de facto* control to *de iure* control
 - Reduction in number of controlling shareholders if the JV remain jointly controlled (example: reduction from 3 to 2 shareholders)

Change of control on lasting basis

- **Permanent change of control:**
 - OK: agreements for a definite period in time with possibility to extend
 - OK: agreements with definite period if period is sufficiently long
- **Several operations occurring in succession where the first transaction is only transitory in nature:**
 - Joint acquisitions but split - up of assets / start - up periods / parking transactions